

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Patent Interference No. 105,188

JAY M. SHORT
(U.S. Patent 6,479,258),
Junior Party,

v.

JUHA PUNNONEN,
WILLEM P. C. STEMMER, ROBERT G. WHALEN,
AND RUSSELL HOWARD
(U.S. Application 09/724,869),
Senior Party.

Entered: 21 April 2006

Judgment - Bd. R. 127(b) - Requested

Before SCHAFER, GRON, and TORCZON, Administrative Patent Judges.

PER CURIAM.

1 Short has abandoned the contest (see Paper 196). Consequently, the case is
2 ripe for judgment. Bd.R. 127(b).

3 JUDGMENT

4 ORDERED that judgment as to count 1, the sole count, be entered ADVERSE to
5 Short;

6 FURTHER ORDERED that Short's involved claims, 1-86, be CANCELLED; and

7 FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this decision be entered in the

8 administrative record of the involved patent and application; and

1 RECOMMENDED that the examiner REJECT Punnonen's involved claim 47 for
2 the reasons provided in a separately entered memorandum in support of this
3 recommendation.

Richard E. Schafer
Administrative Patent Judge

Teddy S. Gron
Administrative Patent Judge

Richard Torczon
Administrative Patent Judge

BOARD OF PATENT
APPEALS AND
INTERFERENCES

cc (via electronic mail):

For Short: **Jane M. Love** and **Caren K. Khoo**, WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND
DORR LLP, of New York City, New York.

For Punnonen: **R. Danny Huntington** and **Sharon E. Crane**, BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN
LLP, of Washington, D.C.

Notice: Agreements and understandings regarding the termination of an interference
are subject to filing requirements under 35 U.S.C. 135(c).

Notice: In the event of judicial review, note the requirements of Bd. R. 8(b).